

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/684,407	10/06/2000	Tommy C. Poon	MH-5060	2385
7	7590 03/26/2004		EXAM	INER
Patent Department			WAHBA, ANDREW W	
Mitsubishi Electric Research Laboratories, Inc. 201 Broadway			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Cambridge, MA 02139			2661	
			DATE MAILED: 03/26/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/684,407	POON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Andrew W Wahba	2661				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re on. , a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT statute, cause the application to become ABA	eply be timely filed ((30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	06 October 2000.					
3) Since this application is in condition for a	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice ur	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> is/are pending in the applic	Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8,17-21,29,30,32-39 and 41</u>	Claim(s) <u>1-6,8,17-21,29,30,32-39 and 41-43</u> is/are rejected.					
· _	, ,					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B	ments have been received. Iments have been received in Apericantly documents have been sureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not i	received.				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) Internation C	ummory (PTO 412)				
1) Motice of References Cited (P10-892) 2) Description Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-94)	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) //Mail Date				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	5B/08) 5) Notice of In 6) Other:	formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Application/Control Number: 09/684,407

Art Unit: 2661

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112: The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 29-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. With respect to claim 29, the phrase "a plurality of major and minor and major connecting with each other" is not clear. First, the Office suggests that the applicant add the term "nodes." Also, the phrase "major and minor and major" is not understood.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1, 8, 17, 18, 21, 32, 33, 42 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as 4. being unpatentable over Brederveld et al (5,898,679). With specific regard to independent claims 1 and 33, Brederveld et al discloses a wireless relay system. The mobile station MS 121 is the destination end-station. MS 120 is a source end-station (applicant's second mobile node) and transmits a message intended for MS 121. In the event that MS 120 and MS 121 are out of range, MS 122 acts as a relay (applicant's first mobile node) (column 5, lines 31-37). While the pattern of both direct and indirect

Art Unit: 2661

communication is the same in both the Brederveld et al reference and the pending application, the difference is that the destination end-station in the reference is a mobile station, whereas the destination end-station in the pending application is a base station. The Office notes that a mobile station, however, may remain stationary. A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to communicate with a stationary communication node, such as a base station, to communicate indirectly with the wired portion of the network. At the time the invention was made, therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to which the invention pertains to communicate with an end destination node that is a base station as specified in claims 1 and 33.

With specific regard to claim 8, Brederveld et al further discloses that the destination end-station verifies the address information in the header set (column 6, lines 63-65). This corresponds to the applicant's header.

With specific regard to claim 17, as MS 121 moves the size and shape of the applicant's locally linked network changes.

With regard to claim 18, MS 122 determines whether a relay is required (column 5, lines 38-40). This corresponds to the applicant's quality and mobility characteristics to monitor the network link.

With regard to claim 21, a plurality of mobile stations is present as shown in Figure 1. This corresponds to the applicant's plurality of major nodes.

Art Unit: 2661

With regard to claim 32, Brederveld et al further discloses a BLEEP signal after the reception of a message (column 5, lines 48-51). This corresponds to the applicant's end of transmission signal.

With regard to claims 42 and 43, the mobile station may be a cellular phone, computer, or any other mobile device.

5. Claims 2, 3, 5, 6, and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brederveld et al in view of Jou et al (6,480,472). With respect to claims 2, 3 and 34, Brederveld et al further discloses that the destination end-station verify the address information in the header set (Brederveld et al, column 6, lines 63-65). This corresponds to the applicant's header detector. Brederveld et al, however. does not disclose specific details concerning the composition of the mobile station. Jou et al discloses a remote station comprised of a receiver 204 that corresponds to the applicant's receiver, a decoder 218 that corresponds to the applicant's decoder, message generator 229 that corresponds to the applicant's message processor, and transmitter that corresponds to the applicant's transmitter (Jou et al, Figure 3). A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ a mobile station such as that described by Jou et al to provide bidirectional communication of both voice and other data. At the time the invention was made, therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ a mobile station as described by Jou et al.

With specific regard to claim 5, mobiles both transmit and receive. This corresponds to the applicant's communication in standby mode and receiving in active mode.

With regard to claim 6, the mobile station may be a cellular phone, computer, or any other mobile device.

- 6. Claims 4, 9, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brederveld et al in view of Jou et al in further view of view of Miyake (5,903,618). Neither Brederveld et al nor Jou et al disclose a GPS receiver. Miyake et al discloses that the both terminals and base stations detect their positions via GPS (Miyake et al, column 10, lines 21-29). This corresponds to the applicant's nodes that comprise GPS receivers. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to equip the mobiles with GPS so as to locate them within a cell.
- 7. Claims 35, 36, 38, 39, and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brederveld et al in view of Jou et al. With respect to claim 35, Brederveld et al discloses a wireless relay system in which mobile stations may communicate either directly or though a mobile relay (Brederveld et al, column 5, lines 31-37). This corresponds to the applicant's communication of a remote station to another remote station. Brederveld et al further discloses that the destination end-station verify the address information in the header set (Brederveld et al, column 6, lines 63-65). This corresponds to the applicant's header detector. Brederveld et al, however, does not disclose the specific components that comprise the mobile stations. Jou et al discloses a remote station comprised of an antenna 200 that corresponds to the

Page 6

Art Unit: 2661

applicant's antenna, a receiver 204 that corresponds to the applicant's receiver, a decoder 218 that corresponds to the applicant's decoder, message generator 229 that corresponds to the applicant's message processor, encoder 228 that corresponds to the applicant's encoder, and transmitter that corresponds to the applicant's transmitter (jou et al. Figure 3). A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ a mobile station such as that described by Jou et al to provide bidirectional communication of both voice and other data. At the time the invention was made, therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ a remote station as described by Jou et al to communicate with a second remote station as described by Brederveld et al.

With regard to claim 36, a plurality of mobile stations is present as shown in Brederveld et al Figure 1. This corresponds to the applicant's plurality of mobile nodes.

With regard to claim 38, mobiles presented by either Jou et al or Brederveld both transmit and receive. This corresponds to the applicant's communication in standby mode and receiving in active mode.

With regard to claim 39, a header (Brederveld et al, column 6, lines 63-65) typically includes the destination address. This corresponds to the applicant's header that identifies the other mobile node.

With regard to claim 41, MS 122 determines whether a relay is required (Brederveld et al, column 5, lines 38-40). This corresponds to the applicant's quality and mobility characteristics to monitor the network link.

Application/Control Number: 09/684,407 Page 7

Art Unit: 2661

8. Claim 37 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brederveld et al in view of Jou et al in further view of Miyake. Neither Brederveld et al nor Jou et al disclose a GPS receiver. Miyake et al discloses that the both terminals and base stations detect their positions via GPS (Miyake et al, column 10, lines 21-29). This corresponds to the applicant's nodes that comprise GPS receivers. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ GPS receivers to locate mobiles within a cell.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 9. Claim 7, 9-16, 22-28, 31, and 40 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew W Wahba whose telephone number is (703) 305-4684. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Douglas W Olms can be reached on (703) 305-4703. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/684,407

Art Unit: 2661

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Andrew Wahba

* AW

March 10, 2004

CHAU NGUYEN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

home 1. Norm

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600